

A GENERALIZATION OF JUNG'S THEOREM

M. HENK

ABSTRACT. The theorem of Jung establishes a relation between circumradius and diameter of a convex body. The half of the diameter can be interpreted as the maximum of circumradii of all 1-dimensional sections or 1-dimensional orthogonal projections of a convex body. This point of view leads to two series of j -dimensional circumradii, defined via sections or projections. In this paper we study some relations between these circumradii and by this we find a natural generalization of Jung's theorem.

Introduction

Throughout this paper E^d denotes the d -dimensional euclidean space and the set of all convex bodies $K \subset E^d$ — compact convex sets — is denoted by \mathcal{K}^d . The affine (convex) hull of a subset $P \subset E^d$ is denoted by $\text{aff}(P)$ ($\text{conv}(P)$) and $\dim(P)$ denotes the dimension of the affine hull of P . The interior of P is denoted by $\text{int}(P)$ and $\text{relint}(P)$ denotes the interior with respect to the affine hull of P . $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the euclidean norm and the set of all i -dimensional linear subspaces of E^d is denoted by \mathcal{L}_i^d . L^\perp denotes for $L \in \mathcal{L}_i^d$ the orthogonal complement and for $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$, $L \in \mathcal{L}_i^d$ the orthogonal projection of K onto L is denoted by $K|L$.

The diameter, circumradius and inradius of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ is denoted by $D(K)$, $R(K)$ and $r(K)$, respectively. For a detailed description of these functionals we refer to the book [BF]. With this notation we can define the following i -dimensional circumradii

Definition. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$ let

$$\begin{aligned} i) \quad R_\sigma^i(K) &:= \max_{L \in \mathcal{L}_i^d} \max_{x \in L^\perp} R(K \cap (x + L)), \\ ii) \quad R_\pi^i(K) &:= \max_{L \in \mathcal{L}_i^d} R(K|L). \end{aligned}$$

We obviously have $R_\sigma^{i+1}(K) \geq R_\sigma^i(K)$, $R_\pi^{i+1}(K) \geq R_\pi^i(K)$, $R_\pi^i(K) \geq R_\sigma^i(K)$ and $R_\sigma^d(K) = R_\pi^d(K) = R(K)$, $R_\sigma^1(K) = R_\pi^1(K) = D(K)/2$.

The theorem of JUNG [J] states a relation between the circumradius and the diameter of a convex body. On account of the definition of $R_\sigma^d(K)$, $R_\sigma^1(K)$ we can describe his result as follows

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* AMS 52A43.

Key words and phrases. Circumradius, Diameter, Inradius.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. J. M. WILLS, who called my attention to these generalized circumradii

Theorem of JUNG. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$. Then*

$$R_\sigma^d(K) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2d}{d+1}} R_\sigma^1(K), \quad (1.1)$$

and equality holds if and only if K contains a regular d -simplex with edge length $D(K)$.

In the same way the theorem may be described with the circumradii $R_\rho^d(K)$ and $R_\rho^1(K)$. Here we study in general the relations between the i -dimensional and j -dimensional circumradius of these both series and get the following results

Results

Theorem 1. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ and $1 \leq j \leq i \leq d$. Then*

$$R_\sigma^i(K) \leq \sqrt{\frac{i(j+1)}{j(i+1)}} R_\sigma^j(K), \quad (1.2)$$

and equality holds for $i > j$ if and only if K contains a regular i -simplex with edge length $R_\sigma^j(K) \sqrt{\frac{2(j+1)}{j}}$.

Theorem 2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ and $1 \leq j \leq i \leq d$. Then*

$$R_\pi^i(K) \leq \sqrt{\frac{i(j+1)}{j(i+1)}} R_\pi^j(K), \quad (1.3)$$

and equality holds for $i > j$ if and only if an orthogonal projection of K onto an i -dimensional linear subspace contains a regular i -simplex with edge length $R_\pi^j(K) \sqrt{\frac{2(j+1)}{j}}$. ■

Let us remark that both theorems are a generalization of the classical theorem of JUNG since for $i = d$, $j = 1$ the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) become (1.1).

Proofs

To prove these theorems it is necessary to examine in more detail the circumradii of simplices since the circumradius of a convex body K is determined by the circumradius of a certain simplex $\bar{T} \subset K$. This well known fact is described in the following lemma

Lemma 1. *Let $K \subset \mathcal{K}^d$ and 0 be the center of the circumball of K . Then there exists a k -simplex $\bar{T} \subset K$, $\bar{T} = \text{conv}(\{x^0, \dots, x^k\})$ with*

$$0 \in \text{relint}(\bar{T}), \quad R(\bar{T}) = R(K) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x^i\| = R(K), \quad 0 \leq i \leq k.$$

Proof. cf. [BF], p. 9 and p. 54.

q.e.d.

With this lemma it is easy to find such $(d-1)$ -dimensional planes for a simplex which produce the maximal $(d-1)$ -circumradius with respect to projections or sections.

Lemma 2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{K}^d$ be a d -simplex, \hat{F} a face of T with maximal circumradius and $\hat{L} \in \mathcal{L}_{d-1}^d$, $\hat{x} \in \hat{L}^\perp$ with $\hat{x} + \hat{L} = \text{aff}(\hat{F})$. Then*

- i) $R_\sigma^{d-1}(T) = R(T \cap (\hat{x} + \hat{L})) = R(\hat{F})$,
- ii) $R_\pi^{d-1}(T) = R(T|\hat{L}) = R(\hat{F})$.

Proof. Let $L_{d-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{d-1}^d$ with $R_\pi^{d-1}(T) = R(T|L_{d-1})$ and let $T|L_{d-1}$ the convex hull of the points x^0, \dots, x^d , where x^0, \dots, x^d denote the images of the vertices of T under the projection onto L_{d-1} . Further let 0 be the center of the circumball of $T|L_{d-1}$ and $\bar{T} \subset T|L_{d-1}$, $\bar{T} = \text{conv}(\{x^0, \dots, x^k\})$, $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, a k -simplex with the properties of lemma 1.

Now let F be a face of T containing such $k+1$ vertices which are mapped onto x^0, \dots, x^k with respect to the orthogonal projection onto L_{d-1} . We have

$$R(\hat{F}) \geq R(F) \geq R(F|L_{d-1}) \geq R(\bar{T}) = R_\pi^{d-1}(T);$$

otherwise $R(\hat{F}) \leq R_\sigma^{d-1}(T) \leq R_\pi^{d-1}(T)$ and the assertion follows. q.e.d.

On account of the lemma above we have $R(S)/R_\pi^{d-1}(S) = R(S)/R_\sigma^{d-1}(S) = d/(d^2 - 1)^{1/2}$ for a regular d -simplex S . That this is even an upper bound for every simplex is shown in the next lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{K}^d$ a simplex. Then*

- i) $R(T) \leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{d^2 - 1}} R_\sigma^{d-1}(T)$,
- ii) $R(T) \leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{d^2 - 1}} R_\pi^{d-1}(T)$,

and equality holds if and only if T is a regular d -simplex.

Proof. If T is a regular d -simplex we have equality by lemma 2. Hence on account of $R_\sigma^{d-1}(T) \leq R_\pi^{d-1}(T)$ it suffices to prove the lemma for the $(d-1)$ -circumradius $R_\sigma^{d-1}(T)$.

Let 0 be the center of the circumball of T and $\{x^0, \dots, x^k\}$ a suitable subset of the vertices of T , such that $\bar{T} = \text{conv}(\{x^0, \dots, x^k\})$ has the properties of lemma 1. If $k < d$ then

$$R(T) = R(\bar{T}) = R_\sigma^{d-1}(T) < \frac{d}{\sqrt{d^2 - 1}} R_\sigma^{d-1}(T). \quad (2.1)$$

Hence we may assume that $T = \text{conv}(\{x^0, \dots, x^d\})$ is a d -simplex with $0 \in \text{int}(T)$ and $\|x^i\| = R(T)$, $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Let λ be the maximal radius of a d -dimensional ball with center 0 , which is contained in T . This ball touches a face F of T in a point λa , $\|a\| = 1$. Let F be given by $\text{conv}(\{x^1, \dots, x^d\})$. Since a is a normal vector of $\text{aff}(F)$ we have

$$\|x^i - \lambda a\|^2 = R(T)^2 - \lambda^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Hence λa is the center of the circumball of F [BF, p. 54] and it follows

$$R(T)^2 - R_\sigma^{d-1}(T)^2 \leq \lambda^2. \quad (2.2)$$

For the inradius $r(T)$ of a simplex T we have $r(T) \leq R(T)/d$ [F] and so by the choice of λ

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{R(T)^2}{d^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Along with (2.2) this shows the inequality i). If we have equality in the relation i) then from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) follows that T is a d -simplex with $r(T) = R(T)/d$. This is only possible if T is regular [F]. q.e.d.

Now we are able to prove the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. It obviously suffices to show the inequalities

$$R_\sigma^i(K) \leq \frac{i}{\sqrt{i^2-1}} R_\sigma^{i-1}(K), \quad 1 < i \leq d. \quad (2.4)$$

Since the circumradii are invariant with respect to translations we may assume that there is an i -dimensional linear subspace $L_i \in \mathcal{L}_i^d$ with $R_\sigma^i(K) = R(K \cap L_i)$ and 0 is center of the circumball of $K \cap L_i$. Moreover let $T \subset (K \cap L_i)$ a k -simplex with the properties of lemma 1. Denoting by $R_\sigma^{i-1}(T; L_i)$ the $(i-1)$ -circumradius of T with respect to the euclidean space L_i we get from lemma 3

$$R(T) \leq \frac{i}{\sqrt{i^2-1}} R_\sigma^{i-1}(T; L_i). \quad (2.5)$$

By the choice of T we have $R(T) = R_\sigma^i(K)$ and since $R_\sigma^{i-1}(K) \geq R_\sigma^{i-1}(T; L_i)$ the inequalities (1.1) are shown.

If an inequality of (1.1) is satisfied with equality for $i > j$ we must have equality in (2.4) and (2.5). By lemma 3 this means that T is a regular i -simplex which satisfies the relation

$$R(T) = R_\sigma^i(K) = \sqrt{\frac{i(j+1)}{j(i+1)}} R_\sigma^j(K). \quad (2.6)$$

Since T is regular we have $R(T) = (i/(2i+2))^{1/2} D(T)$ and by (2.6) we see that T has the diameter (edge length) $R_\sigma^j(K)((2j+2)/j)^{1/2}$.

Now let T be a regular i -simplex contained in K with the given edge length. On account of (1.1) we get

$$R(T) = \sqrt{\frac{i}{2i+2}} D(T) = \sqrt{\frac{i(j+1)}{j(i+1)}} R_\sigma^j(K) \geq R_\sigma^i(K). \quad (2.7)$$

Clearly $R(T) \leq R_\sigma^i(K)$ and so we can replace ' \leq ' by '=' in (2.7). q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 2. On account of lemma 3 the proof can be done in the same way as the proof of theorem 1. q.e.d.

Remarks

- (1) If we replace the first maximum condition by a minimum condition in the definition of the circumradii we get two other series of i -circumradii which now start with the half of the width of a convex body. If we further replace the circumradius by the inradius we totally get four series of circumradii and four series of inradii. Some of these functionals are studied in *Computational Geometry* [GK]. For a survey of these generalized circumradii and inradii we refer to [H].
- (2) Theorems involving inradius, circumradius, diameter and width have a long tradition in the geometry of convex bodies. In this context we refer to [BL], [BF], [E], [DGK].

REFERENCES

- [BF] T. Bonnesen, W. Fenchel, *Theorie der konvexen Körper*, Springer, Berlin, 1934.
- [BL] W. Blaschke, *Kreis und Kugel*, Veit; Second ed., W. de Gruyter, Berlin, Leipzig, 1916.
- [DGK] L. Danzer, B. Grünbaum and V. Klee, *Helly's theorem and its relatives*. In *Convexity* (V. Klee, ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **13** (1963), 101–180.
- [E] H.G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1958, 1969.
- [F] L. Fejes Tóth, *Extremum properties of the regular polytopes*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. (1955), 143–146.
- [GK] P. Gritzmann and V. Klee, *Inner and outer j -radii of convex bodies in finite-dimensional normed spaces*, to appear in *Dis. and Comp. Geometry* (1991).
- [H] M. Henk, *Ungleichungen für sukzessive Minima und verallgemeinerte In- und Umkugeln konvexer Körper*, Dissertation, Universität Siegen (1991).
- [J] H.W.E. Jung, *Über die kleinste Kugel, die eine räumliche Figur einschließt*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **123** (1901), 241–257.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT SIEGEN, HÖLDERLINSTRASSE 3, D-W-5900 SIEGEN, ■
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY.